Remarks

In response to the Office Action mailed February 10, 2005, claims 1-20 have been cancelled without prejudice and without disclaimer; and claims 21-40 have been added.   No claims have been amended.   Therefore, claims 21-40 are pending. Support for the instant amendments is provided throughout the as-filed Specification. Thus, no new matter has been added.   In view of the foregoing amendments and the following comments, allowance of all the claims pending in the application is requested.

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)

Applicants draw the Examiner attention to the fact that an electronic IDS was filed February 9, 2005.   Applicants request that the Examiner consider the references included therewith, and return a signed and initialed copy of the corresponding PTO 1449 form with the next Office Action.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by Gershman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,199,099).   Applicants disagree with the rejections set forth by the Examiner.   However, solely in an effort to expedite prosecution, the rejected claims have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Newly Added Claims

Claims 21-40 have been newly added by this Amendment in an effort to clarify various points of novelty with respect to the art of record.   More particularly, independent claim 21 recites *inter alia* the feature of providing a mobile design element that corresponds to the form and is associated with the mobile wireless client device, formatting the content according to the mobile design element, transmitting the content that is formatted according to the mobile design element to the mobile wireless client device, and storing the mobile design element remotely from the mobile wireless client

device in the application digest. Independent claims 26, 31, and 36 include similar recitations.

In an exemplary embodiment, a database designer may define one or more applications in a database, which may include creating an application digest in the database as a design element. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, page 30, lines 5-8. The database may also store other design elements, such as forms, views, help, etc. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, page 30, lines 12-15. The application digest may hold information regarding the application and a copy of mobile design elements used by the mobile application in a format required or desired by a wireless client device. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, page 31, lines 8-10. A compiler may automatically generate the mobile design elements from other design elements in the database. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, page 31, lines 10-14. For example, when a document is requested for presentation on the wireless client device, an appropriate mobile design element (*e.g.*, an XSL style sheet) may be read from the application digest or compiled so that the document may be transformed, or formatted according to the mobile design element <u>prior to transmission</u> to the wireless client device. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, at page 32, lines 3-7. Since the mobile design elements may not change frequently, the compiled mobile design element may then be cached for later user. *See* the Specification, *e.g.*, at page 32, lines 8-15.

Gershman apparently discloses a system that implements a pattern template to process responses to search queries input by a user on the thin client computer. *See* Gershman, *e.g.*, the Abstract. But Gershman expressly states that the queries are processed <u>on the thin client computer</u>. *See* Gershman, *e.g.*, the Abstract. Accordingly, the disclosure of Gershman does not disclose transmitting content formatted, or processed, according to the pattern template to the client device because the content is not processed with the pattern template until <u>after being transmitted</u> to the client device. Further, the pattern template of Gershman is not stored remotely from the client, but instead is described as being stored <u>on the thin client computer</u>. Therefore, Gershman does not disclose providing a mobile design element that corresponds to the form and is associated with the mobile wireless client device,

formatting the content according to the mobile design element, transmitting the content that is formatted according to the mobile design element to the mobile wireless client device, and storing the mobile design element remotely from the mobile wireless client device in the application digest.   Accordingly, independent claims 21, 26, 31, and 36 are allowable over the art of record.   Further, claims 22-25, 27-30, 32-35, and 37-40 depend from corresponding ones of independent claims 21, 26, 31, and 36, and therefore, are allowable based on their dependency, as well as for the features that they add to the independent claims.

CONCLUSION

Having addressed each of the foregoing rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Dated: June 10, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Sean L. Ingram
Registration No.: 48,283
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP
1600 Tysons Blvd.
McLean, Virginia 22102
703-905-2000